

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>JAMAR TRAVILLION,</b>	:	<b>Civil No. 1:18-CV-2075</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:	<b>(Chief Judge Jones)</b>
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>(Magistrate Judge Carlson)</b>
	:	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA DEP'T. OF</b>	:	
<b>CORRECTIONS, et al.,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Defendants.</b>	:	

**MEMORANDUM ORDER**

This is a civil rights action brought by Jamar Travillion, a state inmate. There are two motions pending in this case, a second motion to appoint counsel, (Doc. 103), and a motion to modify the case management schedule. (Doc. 104).

With respect to the second motion to appoint counsel, the district court recently denied a similar motion, finding that:

[U]pon consideration of Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 91) to appoint counsel, and it appearing that Plaintiff's remaining claims have an arguable basis in law and fact (Doc. 81),<sup>1</sup> and it appearing from the amended complaint (Doc. 32), and the progression of the litigation thus far and Plaintiff's skilled approach to the litigation, including his thorough and organized pending motion to appoint counsel, that he is capable of properly and forcefully prosecuting his claims, and that, despite his contentions to the contrary, discovery with respect to his remaining claims implicates neither complex legal or factual issues, nor requires factual investigation incapable of being conducted by the Plaintiff or the testimony of expert witnesses, see Tabron v. Grace, 6 F.3d 147, 155-57 (3d Cir. 1993) (listing factors relevant to request for counsel), and it being well-established that there is no constitutional or statutory right to counsel in

a civil case, Montgomery v. Pinchak, 294 F.3d 492, 498 (3d Cir. 2002); Parham v. Johnson, 126 F.3d 454, 456–57 (3d Cir. 1997); Tabron, 6 F.3d at 153–54, and that district courts have broad discretion to determine whether to appoint counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion (Doc. 91) is DENIED.

(Doc. 92).

Finding that the circumstances have not materially changed since Travillion previously sought counsel, for the reasons previously stated by the district court this motion to appoint counsel, (Doc. 103) is DENIED without prejudice to renewal at some future date.

The plaintiff's motion to amend the case management schedule, (Doc. 104) is GRANTED as follows:

Fact discovery deadline:	September 20, 2021
--------------------------	--------------------

Dispositive motion deadline:	October 20, 2021
------------------------------	------------------

So ordered this 23d day of July 2021.

S/Martin C. Carlson  
Martin C. Carlson  
United States Magistrate Judge